

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * * * *

FRANK ORTIZ,)
vs. Plaintiff,) 3:05-cv-00113-LRH-VPC
DAVID KELLY, et al.,) ORDER
Defendants.)

Before the court is Plaintiff's Objection to Magistrate Judge Cooke's Denial of His Second Motion for Subpoena Against Skolnik (#151¹), which the court will treat as a motion to reconsider Magistrate's Order #147.

The Court has conducted its review in this case, has fully considered the Plaintiff's motion, Defendants' response (#153), Plaintiff's reply (#158) and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1), and concludes that the Magistrate Judge's ruling was neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

The Magistrate Judge's Order (#147) will, therefore, be sustained and Plaintiff's motion (#151) is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 30th day of October, 2008.



LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹Refers to this court's docket number.